Brand Building Activities of Service Sector MSMEs: Insights from Owner-Managers in India

Mr. Amol Randive

Department of Management, Vishwakarma University, Pune, India - 411048

Dr. Jayashree Vispute

Department of Management, Vishwakarma University, Pune, India - 411048

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The list of brand building activities used all over the world is long. The use of these activities or tools varies across geographies and sectors. As far as Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are concerned, their preferences are not the same as large ones. Furthermore, there is very limited research with focus on services sector MSMEs. This study analyses the same in the Indian context.

Objectives: a) To understand the extent of use of different branding building activities by MSMEs.; and b) To analyse key associated variables and factors influencing branding activities.

Design/Methodology/Approach: 210 service sector MSMEs in India are included in the study. A structured questionnaire was circulated to understand the level of use of varied brand building activities. The data is analysed to understand comparative use of brand building activities in the service sector and also to identify differences based on industry, customer base, scale, and other factors.

Findings: Considering the overall scope of brand building activities used by the MSMEs, they are found to be taking a cautious approach to brand building. Although in varying degree and less frequency, MSMEs are exploring alternate forms of brand building activities. Some of the common things amongst service sector MSMEs are customer loyalty, customer referrals, word-of-mouth, and brand owner or network activities.

Originality/Value: The study presents insights about the brand building activities based on responses provided by the MSME owner-managers. This can be helpful to the branding and marketing professionals dealing with service sector MSMEs.

KEYWORDS

Brand Building, Promotion Mix, Service Sector, MSMEs, MSME Branding, Marketing Communication, Brand Investment, Brand Equity

INTRODUCTION

Parameswaran (2018) asserts, branding is not a function of budget and scale. It can be done by all sorts of enterprises. Brand building improves the competitive strength of enterprises (ET Contributors, 2018) and is important for sustainability and long-term viability of every business (Nigerian Tribune, 2017). Another perspective is that, brand building activities and related investments are made by firms to support the management of product and corporate brands (Biedenbach et.al., 2022). However, MSMEs seem to take a more cautious approach to brand building (Sudhakar et al, 2017).

Brand building is a broad concept and covers aspects such as essentials to build a strong brand (Farquhar, 1989); way to build a brand, such as building the knowledge in minds of consumers (Campbell and Keller, 2003); key steps in developing a brand right from identify to brand value creation (Keller, 2013); everything a brand does is communication such as packaging, conversations, channels, etc. (Economist, 2009); and brand building activities (Bresciani and Eppler, 2010; Edelman, 2010; Keller and Lehmann, 2006). Kotler and Pförtsch (2006) assert that the brand building is essentially building brand equity through means such as brand awareness, linking brand associations, eliciting positive brand emotions, and facilitating strong customer-brand relationship.

The focus of this study in brand building activities i.e., initiatives and tools used by the firms to communicate and engage with customers. Reden and Swait (2004) have used the term Brand Investment for the activities carried out by a firm to assure its customers about brand promise and to demonstrate firm's long-term commitment. "Marketers are increasingly embracing alternative forms of brand-building activities," state Keller and Lehmann (2005).

A parallel for brand building in MSMEs, in the context of large organizations could be marketing communication. In large organizations, integrated marketing communication is considered critical for brand building (Spence and Essoussi, 2014; Reid et al, 2005). Raki and Shakur (2018) emphasise that, beyond brand identity creation, brand building also includes marketing programs for continuous and continued communication. Marketing communications in SMEs are primarily aimed at utilizing social networks, advertising, use of websites, interactions, loyalty, etc. (Khomenko and Pimonenko, 2021).

Service Sector in India is highly diverse (KPMG and CII, 2016; Balasubramanyam and Virmani, 2018); largest and fastest growing (Mukherjee, 2013); contributes to more than 60% of the economy and more than 28% of employment (Deloitte and CII, 2017). This study mainly covers Champion Service sectors, as classified by PIB, GOI (2018), such as information technology & information technology enabled services; tourism & hospitality; transport & logistics; accounting & finance; professional services; and education services among others. MSME branding research has not developed as much as service branding evolved over the last few decades since it is clearly distinguished from products (Horan et al., 2011).

LITERATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The brands are always evolving on the basis of market conditions and stakeholder expectations (Kotler and Pförtsch, 2006). Building brands around specific customer segments helps create more customer equity (Rust et al, 2004). This point of view is also supported by (Reklaitis and Pileliene, 2019) who state that "depending on the type of consumers a company is dealing with, marketing communication holds its own peculiarities".

In the service industry, it is not just heterogeneity of service but also that of markets has made branding increasingly important (Papasolomou and Vrontis, 2006). The degree to homogeneity in the market is one of the key market factors influencing branding decisions (Cancan Lu, 2014). In case of service-based brands (as opposed to product-based brands), customers experience the brand at various levels and involve multiple customer interfaces; and service branding requires a tailored approach (McDonald et al, 2001). Service firms vary widely by size, right from large ones such as banks, telecom, insurance, etc. to accountants, instructors, carpenters, etc. offering services at a smaller scale (Prabhakar, 2018). "Branding plays a special role in service companies because strong brands increase customers' trust of the invisible purchase," states Berry (2000).

Wolfe and Moffitt (1991) define local brands as those that exist within a particular country or geographic area. Whereas international brands have globalized elements in the marketing mix, and global brands use the same marketing mix across all target markets globally (Schuiling and Kapferer, 2004). The key advantages of local brands, as summarized by Schuiling and Kapferer (2004) based on extensive research, are such as "better response to local needs, flexibility of pricing strategy, possibility of responding to local or international competition, possibility of balancing a portfolio of brands, possibility of responding to needs not covered by international brands, and possibility of fast entry into new markets"

Although brand building tools are not fundamentally different in B2C and B2B markets, priorities typically vary significantly (Kotler and Pförtsch, 2006). The marketing strategies have to be on different presumptions in B2B and B2C markets (Reklaitis and Pileliene, 2019). One of the challenges in branding building, as stated by Biedenbach et.al (2022) is, a variety of actions available for initiating and carrying out brand building adds complexity. Biedenbatch (2012) further adds, "the differences with regard to size and industry sector could affect decision makers and their assessment of the dimensions forming brand equity".

Considering above literature review-based findings, we have identified below key research objectives;

- a) To understand the extent of use of different branding building activities by MSMEs.
- b) To analyse key associated variables and factors influencing branding activities

METHODOLOGY AND MEASUREMENT

Research Methodology

- Survey Participants: 210 Owners-Managers of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises in the Service Sector
- Survey Conduction: Structured Questionnaire circulated online to the target enterprises
- Characteristics of participating enterprises: Presence in Pune, Maharashtra; Independently owned (not a subsidiary of large firm); and in existence for at least 2 years

Profile of enterprises

- Number of employees (headcount): 2-10 (68%), 11-50 (20%), 51-200 (8%), 201-500 (3%), and 501-1000 (1%)
- Average annual turnover of last 3 years in INR (Crores): Less than 1 (54%), 1-5 (28%), 6-10 (8%), 11-50 (6%), 51-100 (2%), and 101-250 (2%)
- Years since establishment: 2-5 years (37%), 6-10 years (27%), 11-20 years (22%), 21-40 years (10%), and 40+ years (5%)
- Customers by Geography: District-level (49%), State-level (25%), Nation-wide (17%), and Global (10%)
- Size of the Marketing Team (count of full-time sales and marketing employees): Zero (38%), 1 (22%), 2-5 (31%), 6-10 (6%), 11-20 (1%), and 20+ (2%)
- Sectors: Banking and Financial Services (6%); Courier, Logistics, Transport services (7%); E-Commerce (5%); Education (3%); Entertainment (1%); Event Management (4%); Healthcare Services (6%); Hospitality Services (6%); Household Services (7%); IT and ITes (5%); Media and Entertainment (1%); Professional services (7%); Real estate (2%); Repair and Maintenance Services (5%); Retail (13%); Trade (21%); and Travel and Tourism Services (1%)

Brand Building Activities or Tools:

"Brand building tools (or activities) are the means of marketing communication by which companies aim to inform, persuade, and remind customers – directly or indirectly – about its products and brands", state Kotler and Pförtsch (2006). As the customer decision journey spans across traditional offline as well as digital environments (Kannan and Alice, 2017; Bruhn et al, 2012), it is important to cover varied activities. To summarize, a statement by Aaker (2015) is most relevant here: "Brand builders now face a very different world, a world with a set of communications vehicles that are numerous, complex, and dynamic".

Table 1. Classification Brand Building Activities

S.N.	Brand Building Activities	Reference
1	advertising, public relations, events, sponsoring, internet domain with the same name, online marketing, newsletter, music, videos, uniforms / branded clothes, structured recruitment process, internal communication rules / policies, internal brand communication, and internal brand measurement.	Bresciani and Eppler (2010)
2	close and personal; mass-personalization; mass; and e-communication.	Centeno and Hart (2011)
3	advertising, consumer & trade promotions, public relations, event sponsorships and personal selling.	Hoeffler (2003)
4	advertising broadcast, print, outdoor, digital marketing, online marketing); sales promotion (sampling, coupon, gifts, sign-up rebates, price promotions); personal communications (personal selling, telemarketing, training, trade shows, word of mouth, online social networks); publicity & public relations (press releases, press conferences, special events, sponsorships, thought leadership, newspaper coverage); service delivery points (service outlets, frontline employees, self-service delivery points, websites & apps, brochures, FAQs); and corporate design (signage, interior décor, vehicles, equipment, uniforms, stationery).	Wirtz and Lovelock (2022)

Source: Prepared by Researchers

DATA ANALYSIS

Tables 2. Conventional Brand Building Activities preferred by MSMEs

S.N.	Conventional Brand Building Activities	Mode	Mean	Medi an	Std. Devia tion	Varia nce	Min	Max	5 (%)	4 (%)	3 (%)	2 (%)	1 (%)
1	Personal selling / direct sales	4	3.92	4	1.14	1.3	1	5	35	41	13	3	8
2	Trade shows / Exhibitions	1	2.54	3	1.42	2.03	1	5	13	13	27	10	37

3	Brand owner activities	1	2.76	3	1.5	2.24	1	5	18	15	25	9	33
4	Press conference	1	2	1	1.33	1.77	1	5	8	8	17	11	56
5	PR, Publicity	1	2.26	2	1.45	2.12	1	5	13	8	21	9	50
6	Advertising – TV	1	1.92	1	1.35	1.83	1	5	9	8	10	12	60
7	Advertising – Newspaper	1	2.14	1	1.39	1.93	1	5	10	7	20	11	51
8	Advertising – Radio/FM	1	1.88	1	1.28	1.63	1	5	7	8	13	13	60
9	Advertising – Outdoor	1	2.3	2	1.46	2.13	1	5	12	12	19	10	48
10	Newsletters	1	2.08	1	1.35	1.83	1	5	9	9	15	15	52
11	Storytelling	1	1.93	1	1.3	1.7	1	5	8	6	14	13	58
12	Celebrity endorsement	1	1.78	1	1.23	1.52	1	5	6	7	10	13	64
13	Events by the company	1	2.15	1	1.4	1.97	1	5	10	10	18	10	52
14	Sponsorships to events	1	2.03	1	1.35	1.82	1	5	8	10	15	12	55
15	Stationary – branded	1	2.31	2	1.54	2.36	1	5	15	13	11	12	50
16	Uniforms - branded	1	2.28	1	1.51	2.29	1	5	12	16	10	11	51
17	Other	1	1.93	1	1.36	1.84	1	5	8	10	11	9	62

Likert Scale for 'Use': Almost every time = 5, Frequently use = 4, Occasionally /Sometimes = 3, Almost Never = 2, Never = 1

- Top 5 (most used): Personal selling / direct sales, Brand owner activities, Trade shows
 / Exhibitions, Stationary branded, Advertising Outdoor
- Bottom 5 (least used): Celebrity endorsement, Advertising Radio/FM, Advertising TV, Storytelling, and Press conference

Table 3. Digital Branding Activities (Website, SEO, e-commerce) preferred by MSMEs

S.N.	Website, SEO, e-commerce	Mode	Mean	Median	Std. Deviatio n	Variance	Min	Max
1	Website	1	1.33	1	0.47	0.22	1	2
2	Search Engine Optimization	1	1.3	1	0.46	0.21	1	2
3	Search Engine Marketing/PPC	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
4	Website Analytics	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
5	e-commerce website for sales	1	1.18	1	0.38	0.15	1	2
6	Use of third-party portal for sales	1	1.22	1	0.41	0.17	1	2
7	Only listing on other web portals	1	1.15	1	0.36	0.13	1	2

- Top 3 (most used): Website, Search Engine Optimization, and Use of third-party portals for sales
- Bottom 3 (least used): only listing on other web portals, e-commerce website for sales, and Website Analytics

Table 4. Digital Branding Activities (Social Media Marketing) preferred by MSMEs

S.N.	Social Media Marketing	Mode	Mean	Median	Std. Deviatio n	Variance	Min	Max
1	Facebook profile of owner-managers	1	1.38	1	0.49	0.24	1	2
2	Facebook Page of enterprise	1	1.36	1	0.48	0.23	1	2
3	Facebook posts boosting	1	1.23	1	0.42	0.18	1	2
4	Facebook Ads	1	1.19	1	0.39	0.15	1	2
5	Facebook Shop	1	1.13	1	0.34	0.12	1	2

6	YouTube Account of leader / business	1	1.09	1	0.29	0.08	1	2
7	YouTube Channel of enterprise	1	1.1	1	0.29	0.09	1	2
8	YouTube Ads	1	1.08	1	0.27	0.07	1	2
9	Instagram Account/Page	1	1.37	1	0.48	0.23	1	2
10	Instagram for Business	1	1.33	1	0.47	0.22	1	2
11	Instagram posts boosting	1	1.3	1	0.46	0.21	1	2
12	Instagram Ads	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
13	WhatsApp Number	2	1.62	2	0.49	0.24	1	2
14	WhatsApp for Business Account	2	1.51	2	0.5	0.25	1	2
15	LinkedIn Accounts of Owner-Mangers	1	1.21	1	0.41	0.17	1	2
16	LinkedIn Page of enterprise	1	1.21	1	0.41	0.17	1	2
17	LinkedIn Ads	1	1.15	1	0.36	0.13	1	2
18	Pinterest	1	1.08	1	0.27	0.07	1	2
19	Twitter	1	1.1	1	0.3	0.09	1	2
20	Quora	1	1.07	1	0.25	0.06	1	2
21	Signal	1	1.05	1	0.22	0.05	1	2
22	Telegram	1	1.08	1	0.27	0.07	1	2
23	Viber	1	1.04	1	0.2	0.04	1	2

- Top 3 (most used): WhatsApp Number, WhatsApp for Business Account, Facebook profile of owner-managers, Instagram Account/Page, and Facebook Page of enterprise
- Bottom 3 (least used): Viber, Signal, Quora, YouTube Ads, and Pinterest

Table 5. Digital Branding Activities (Other Digital Marketing Tools) preferred by MSMEs

S.N.	Other Digital Marketing Tools	Mode	Mean	Median	Std. Deviatio n	Variance	Min	Max
1	Email Marketing	1	1.34	1	0.48	0.23	1	2
2	Webinars/virtual events	1	1.21	1	0.41	0.17	1	2
3	Content marketing - Videos	1	1.28	1	0.45	0.2	1	2
4	Content marketing - Blogs	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
5	Content marketing – Reports/Papers	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
6	Content Curation	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
7	Influencer/Affiliate Marketing	1	1.23	1	0.42	0.18	1	2
8	Own Mobile app(s)	1	1.2	1	0.4	0.16	1	2
9	Mobile Marketing	1	1.34	1	0.48	0.23	1	2

- Top 3 (most used): Email Marketing, Mobile Marketing, and Content marketing Videos
- Bottom 3 (least used): Content marketing Blogs, Content marketing Reports/Papers, and Content Curation

Table 6. Top sources of new business opportunities and more revenue for enterprises

S.N.	Top sources of new business opportunities and more revenue	% of MSMEs
1	More orders from the existing customers	78
2	Referrals and word of mouth by existing customers	66
3	Network and connections of the business owners	61
4	New customers through the efforts of sales team	37
5	New leads through branding and marketing activities	19

6 Orders through e-commerce portal or external partner 14

Based on the findings, as presented in the Table 6, the MSMEs get most of their new business from existing customers followed by referrals and word of mouth by existing customers.

Table 7. Correlation between Brand Building Activities and other constructs/variables

S.N.		Conventional Brand Building Activities	Digital Brand Building Activities
1	Digital Brand Building Activities	0.478	NA
2	Enterprise Performance	0.113	0.058
3	Brand Performance	0.125	0.136
4	Brand Orientation	0.123	0.33
5	Customer Orientation	0.155	0.253
6	Competitor Orientation	0.372	0.191
7	Entrepreneurial Orientation	0.394	0.298
8	Brand Vision	0.051	0.259
9	Inter-departmental Cooperation	0.306	0.327
10	Brand Resources	0.208	0.095
11	Marketing Communication Capabilities	0.368	0.298
12	Innovation Capabilities	0.223	0.143
13	Number of Employees	0.186	0.314
14	Turnover	0.23	0.253
15	Agency Association	0.183	0.346
16	Full-time Marketing Employees	0.38	0.439
17	Part-time Marketing Employees	0.401	0.365
18	Branding Team Employees	0.43	0.417
10	Lead Source: More orders from the		
19	existing customers	-0.112	0.45
20	Lead Source: Referrals and word of		
	mouth by existing customers	-0.197	0.459
21	Lead Source: Network and connections		
	of the business owners	-0.057	0.429
22	Lead Source: New customers through the efforts of sales team	0.204	0.396
23	Lead Source: New leads through branding and marketing activities	0.068	0.357
24	Lead Source: Orders through e- commerce portal or external partner	0.016	0.596

Source: Prepared by researchers based on the primary data.

Variables that have moderate corelation with Conventional Brand Building Activities: 1) Digital Brand Building Activities; 2) count of Part-time Marketing Employees; and 3) count of Branding Team Employees

Variables that have moderate corelation with Digital Brand Building Activities: 1) Lead Source: Orders through e-commerce portal or external partner; 2) Lead Source: Referrals and word of mouth by existing customers; 3) Lead Source: More orders from the existing customers; 4) Lead Source: More orders from the existing customers; 5) Lead Source: Network and connections of the business owners; and 6) count of Full-time Marketing Employees

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

With respect to the first objective of the study (extent of use of different branding building activities by MSMEs): The most commonly used conventional brand building activities are Personal selling / direct sales and Brand owner activities. The next set of activities preferred by MSMEs in PR, Publicity; Uniforms – branded; Advertising – Outdoor; Stationary – branded; and Trade shows / Exhibitions. The activities less preferred by the service sector MSMEs are Advertising – Radio/FM; Advertising – TV; Storytelling; Press conference; Sponsorships to events; Newsletters; Advertising – Newspaper; and Events by the company. The least used activity is endorsements. As far as digital branding activities are concerned, most preferred are Search Engine Optimization, Website, WhatsApp Number, WhatsApp for Business Account, Facebook profile of owner-managers, Instagram Account/Page, and Facebook Page of enterprise, Email Marketing, Mobile Marketing, and Content marketing – Videos.

With respect to the second objective of the study (key associated variables and factors influencing branding activities): Top sources of business opportunities for service sectors MSMEs are more orders from the existing customers, and referrals and word of mouth by existing customers. The factors that have greater influence on brand building activities is team (marketing and branding) size.

Considering the overall scope of brand building activities used by the MSMEs, they are found to be taking a cautious approach to brand building as noted by Sudhakar et al (2017). Although in varying degree and less frequency, MSMEs are exploring alternate forms of brand building activities as noted by Keller and Lehmann (2005). The customer loyalty, customer referrals, word-of-mouth, brand owner/network activities are commonly found in the MSMEs in line with the findings of Khomenko and Pimonenko (2021).

It is observed that service sector MSMEs in India are relying more on the personal selling or direct marketing. Overall use of the digital brand building activities is quite less so far. The social media marketing is predominantly based on WhatsApp, Facebook (now Meta), and Instagram. The use of content marketing is limited.

The corelation between conventional brand building activities; and enterprise performance, brand performance, brand orientation, and customer orientation are found to be very weak. The corelation between digital brand building activities; and brand performance, competitor orientation, brand resources, and innovation capabilities are found to be very weak.

Surprisingly, new leads though branding and marketing activities are relatively less when compared with other sources of new business opportunities. This is the least used source only next to e-commerce portals or external partners.

LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The major limitations of this study are as follows: a) It is conducted in the Maharashtra State, in India; and b) the respondents are from the select 17 service sectors.

There is scope for further research: a) to study the usefulness of return of investment of various brand building activities; and b) to study in detail the impact of size or scale of the enterprise on the brand building activities.

REFERENCES

- Ambi M. G. Parameswaran (2018). What SMEs can learn about branding from their customers & clients. The Economic Times, 26 October
- Balasubramanyam V N and Virmani Swati (2018). The Enigmatic Services Sector of India. Munich Personal RePEc Archive. Retrieve https://pure.hud.ac.uk/en/publications/the-enigmatic-services-sector-of-india. Accessed on 11 April 2020
- 3) Barbara Wolfe and Robert Moffitt (1991). A New Index to Value In-Kind Benefits. The Review of Income and Wealth, 37(4), 387-408
- 4) Berry L. (2000). Cultivating service brand equity. Journal of Academy of Marketing Science, 28, 128-137
- 5) Bruhn M., Schoenmueller, V. and Schäfer, D.B. (2012). Are social media replacing traditional media in terms of brand equity creation? Management Research Review, 35(9), 770-790
- 6) Cancan Lu (2014). Analysis of Factors Influencing the Strategic Choice of Brand Architecture. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2, 28-32
- 7) David Aaker (2015). Aaker on Branding: 20 Principles that Drive Success. SAGE Publications: New Delhi
- 8) David C. Edelman (2010). Branding in the Digital Age: You're Spending Your Money in All the Wrong Places. Harvard Business Review, December
- 9) Deloitte and CII (2017). India Services Sector A Multi-trillion Dollar Opportunity for Global Symbiotic Growth. Retrieve https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/in/Documents/industries/inindia-services-sector-ges-2017-noexp.pdf. Accessed on 11 April 2020
- 10) Edgar Centeno and Susan Hart (2011). The use of communication activities in the development of small to medium-sized enterprise brands. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 30(2), 250-265
- 11) ET Contributors (2018). Small in size, large in ambition: Marketing strategies to help build a brand. The Economic Times. Retrieve

- https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/small-biz/marketing-branding/marketing/small-in-size-large-in-ambition-marketing-strategies-to-help-build-a-brand/articleshow/65382354.cms?from=mdr
- 12) Galina Biedenbach, Thomas Biedenbach, Peter Hultén, and Veronika Tarnovskaya (2022). Organizational resilience and internal branding: investigating the effects triggered by self-service technology. Journal of Brand Management, 29, 420–433
- 13) Horan G., O'Dwyer M. and Tiernan S. (2011). Exploring management perspectives of branding in service SMEs, Journal of Services Marketing, 25(2), 114-121
- 14) Isabelle Schuiling and Jean-Noël Kapferer (2004). Executive Insights: Real Differences Between Local and International Brands: Strategic Implications for International Marketers. Journal of International Marketing, 12(4), 97-112
- 15) Jochen Wirtz and Christopher Lovelock (2016). Services Marketing: People, Technology, Strategy. Eighth Edition. World Scientific Publishing
- 16) Kannan P.K. and Alice L. (2017). Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 34, 22–45
- 17) Keller K. L. (2013). Strategic Brand Management: Building Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity, Global Edition (4th ed.). Pearson Education
- 18) Kęstutis RĖKLAITIS and Lina PILELIENĖ (2019). Principle Differences between B2B and B2C Marketing Communication Processes. Sciendo
- 19) Kevin Lane Keller, Donald R. Lehmann (2006). Brands and Branding: Research Findings and Future Priorities. Marketing Science, 25(6)
- 20) KPMG and CII (2016). The Indian Services Sector: Poised for Global Ascendancy. Retrieve https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2016/04/The-Indian-services-sector-Poised-for-global-ascendancy.pdf. Accessed on 11 April 2020
- 21) Liliia Khomenko and Tetyana Pimonenko (2021). Promotion tools in small and medium enterprises: bibliometric analysis. JOUR
- 22) Margaret Campbell and Kevin Lane Keller (2003). Brand Familiarity and Ad Repetition Effects. Journal of Consumer Research, 9, June, 77-89
- 23) Martine Spence and Leila Hamzaoui Essoussi (2014). SME brand building and management: an exploratory study. European Journal of Marketing, 44(7/8), 1037-1054
- 24) McDonald H.B.M., de Chernatony L. and Harris P. (2001). Corporate marketing and service brands moving beyond the fast-moving consumer goods model. European Journal of Marketing, 35(3/4), 335-52
- 25) Mukherjee Arpita (2013). The Service Sector in India (June 2013). Asian Development Bank Economics Working Paper Series No. 352. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2282311 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2282311
- 26) Nigerian Tribune (2017). Why SMEs must embrace branding Experts. 19 November
- 27) Papasolomou I. and Vrontis D. (2006). Building corporate branding through internal marketing: the case of the UK retail bank industry. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 15(1), 37-47
- 28) Peter Farquhar (1989). Managing Brand Equity. Marketing Research, 1, September, 24-33
- 29) Philip Kotler and Waldemar Adam Pförtsch (2006). B2B Branding Dimensions.

- 30) Press Information Bureau, Government of India (2018). Cabinet approves Action Plan for Champion Sectors in Services. 28 Feb 2018
- 31) Raki S. and Shakur M. M. A. (2018). Brand management in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) from Stakeholder Theory Perspective. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 8(7), 392–409
- 32) Reid M., Luxton S. and Mavondo F. (2005). The relationship between integrated marketing communication, market orientation and brand orientation. Journal of Advertising, 34(4), 11-23
- 33) Roland T. Rust, Valarie A. Zeithaml, and Katherine N. Lemon (2004). Customer-Centered Brand Management. Harvard Business Review.
- 34) Sabrina Bresciani and Martin Eppler (2010). Brand New Ventures? Insights on Startups Branding Practices. Journal of Product and Brand Management, 19(5), 356
- 35) Satya Prabhakar (2018). Most powerful engine of India's growth: Service MSMEs. Economic Times, January 27
- 36) Steve Hoeffler (2003). The Marketing Advantages of Strong Brands. Journal of Brand Management, 10(6), 421–445
- 37) Sudhakar Bushan D. and Kattepogu Nagarjuna and David Arokiara (2017). Marketing Assistance and Digital Branding An Insight for Technology Up-Gradation for MSME's. (August 13, 2017). International Journal of Management Studies & Research, Vol. 5, iss.1, ISSN: 2455-1562, p.180-185 (2017). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3304456
- 38) The Economist (2009). Brands and Branding. Profile Books
- 39) Tulun Erdem and Joffre Swait (2004). Brand Credibility, Brand Consideration and Choice. Journal of Consumer Research, 31, June, 191-198